

# Prison Custodial Performance Table

## Q4 2015/16 Performance grade for the 12 months to June 2016

Q4 Apr-Jun 2016	Prison	Grade change	Previous performance grades		
			Q3 Jan-Mar 2016	Q2 Oct-Dec 2015	Q1 Jul-Sep 2015
<b>Exceptional</b>					
	Rolleston Prison	–	Exceptional	Exceptional	Exceptional
	Arohata Prison	–	Exceptional	Exceptional	Exceptional
	Tongariro Prison	▲	Exceeding	Effective	Exceeding
	Northland Region Corrections Facility	▲	Exceeding	Effective	Exceeding
	Spring Hill Corrections Facility	▲	Exceeding	Exceptional	Exceptional
<b>Exceeding</b>					
<b>Effective</b>					
	Auckland Region Women's Corrections Facility	–	Effective	Needs Improvement	Exceeding
	Otago Corrections Facility	▼	Exceeding	Effective	Effective
	Manawatu Prison	▲	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement
	Mount Eden Corrections Facility	–	Effective	Effective	Effective
	Invercargill Prison	▼▼	Exceptional	Exceeding	Effective
	Christchurch Men's Prison	–	Effective	Needs Improvement	Exceeding
	Rimutaka Prison	–	Effective	Effective	Effective
	Waikeria Prison	▼	Exceeding	Effective	Effective
<b>Needs Improvement</b>					
	Christchurch Women's Prison	–	Needs Improvement	Exceptional	Exceptional
	Auckland Prison	–	Needs Improvement	Effective	Exceeding
	Whanganui Prison	▼	Effective	Effective	Exceeding
	Hawkes Bay Regional Prison	–	Needs Improvement	Effective	Needs Improvement

### Auckland South Corrections Facility (ASCF)

The Custodial Performance Table calculates the performance grade for each prison based on the results for the quarter, together with the results for the previous three quarters (to give a rolling 12 month period of historic performance results). ASCF commenced operation in May 2015. Up until October 2015 ASCF was building up to full capacity and therefore the performance results over the period to October 2015 are not directly comparable to other prisons. A comparable assessment of custodial performance can be reliably calculated for ASCF in the first quarter of the 2016/17 financial year. ASCF will therefore be incorporated into the Custodial Performance Table at that time.

Notwithstanding this, and while not directly comparable, if the results from October 2015 to June 2016 were extrapolated to a 12 month period to derive a proxy performance grade, ASCF would be graded at the top of the needs improvement category. The key determinants that influence the ASCF proxy grading are similar to those that have influenced the grade of other prisons. These include serious prisoner on prisoners assaults, prisoner on staff assaults, positive drug tests and justified complaints.

#### Notes

Prisons within a performance grade are ordered by their respective Internal Procedures score.

Core Security – includes breakout escapes, significant disorder events and escapes from escort.

Internal procedures – includes performance results related to assaults on staff and prisoners, justified complaints, and % of positive general random drug tests.